ARC grants

- DECRA/Discovery, Linkage

- High prestige/funding; low success rates

- Selection criteria vary slightly across the schemes

- Discovery: Investigator; Proposed Project Quality and Innovation (these first two are weighted most heavily), Feasibility, National Benefit

- National benefit:

  - *Will the completed Project produce significant new knowledge and/or innovative economic, commercial, environmental, social and/or cultural benefit to the Australian and international community? Will the proposed research be cost-effective and value for money?*

CIMA grants

- Grants for management accounting research

- Ad-hoc and targeted (themed) schemes

- Two categories in 2020:

  - Seedcorn funding for ECRs (up to 7 500 GBP)
  - Experienced researchers (up to 30 000 GBP)

CIMA grants: from the funder's perspective

- A professional body that exists to support its members

- Evaluation panel comprises both academics and practitioners

- Proposals should have a strong practitioner focus

- Funders want to manage risk

Helping the funder feel comfortable

- Strong track record

- Ability to write proposal in a way that indicates you can speak to a practitioner audience

- Give the funder confidence the proposal will lead to a final product

  - Reasonably developed 'priors'

  - Have case organization/s access organised

  - Build sufficient contingency plans into the proposal

  - "Trust me" applications tend not to be rewarded with funding

AFAANZ Grants

- Applications via Faff pitching template

- Easier to get than CIMA/ARC funding, but funds awarded to each individual grant tend to be fairly small